

Gateway Science and Math Course Redesign Project Descripton



Issues to Address • Aspiring and declared STEM majors experience high failure rates in gateway science and math courses • Research shows that perceived poor quality instruction in science and math courses is the primary factor leading students to depart STEM fields and is a major concern of those who persist • Implementation of curricular and pedagogical redesign, primarily focused on various aspects of interactive engagement, has improved student learning in STEM gateway courses, across the disciplines	The Goal The STEM Gateway pathway to successful course redesign is characterized by: • On-going exploration and adoption of evidence- based instructional practices • Faculty engaged in continuous improvement of teaching and learning • Dialogue around teaching supported through a community of practice • Teaching informed by meaningful assessment The fulfillment of this vision will result in increased student achievement of learning outcomes, retention, and degree attainment, especially among Hispanic and low-income students. (Inspired by WIDER PERSIST, Boise State University

Individual Course Redesign Projects



One (or two instructors) working to redesign a course that is required and is foundational to at least one STEM major (Contact Gary Smith, gsmith@unm.edu to confirm that your course qualifies). Tenure-stream and Lecturer faculty in natural science, mathematics, and engineering departments are eligible to apply; a graduate student or part-time instructor can partner with a regular faculty member.



Successful applicants will form a faculty learning commuity. To encourage access to close collaborators, preference will be given to applicants who are either proposing a partner on their project or where two separate proposals are submitted by faculty in the same department





Gateway STEM Course Redesign Program – Individual Proposal 2015-2016 Due: March 30, 2015

Important Dates

- March 30, 2015: Gateway Science and Math Course Redesign proposals due. Submit to Gary Smith (gsmith@unm.edu).
- April 10, 2015: Selection of successful course-redesign projects announced.
- May 14, 15, 18, 2015: Course-redesign members must attend STEM Gateway Redesign Institute (2.5 days).
- June 1-August 15, 2015: Course-redesign members develop proposed curriculum and pedagogical redesign elements; informal meetings between members and with STEM Gateway facilitators.
- 2015-2016 Academic Year: Implementation of redesign with collection of assessment data. STEM Gateway will advise throughout the process and arrange for ongoing monthly teaching professional development activity sessions for the faculty learning community.

Compensation Schedule

Summer 2015 compensation for faculty (1.5 months) begins on June 1.

Summer 2016 compensation for faculty (0.5 month) begins on June 1 provided that course-redesign implementation occurred as planned and assessment data were collected.

Continue to complete the proposal form

A. Foundational Information

Course number, or numbers (including department/program prefix): _____

Course name(s): ___

If the redesign will affect companion laboratory or recitation/problem solving sessions that have a separate course number/title, then please list these course numbers and titles in this space:

Typical number of sections and students taught during fall, spring, and summer semesters (listing lab and recitation/problem solving sessions separately from the lecture) for each course involved in the redesign proposal; also indicate how many sections are typically taught by the applicant(s):

Purpose. What are the specific, measureable objectives of your proposed redesign project?

Significance: Why are the objectives provided above important to the recruitment, retention, and graduation of students at UNM?

B. Preliminary Redesign Plan

STEM Gateway anticipates that your redesign plan will mature and change as a consequence of subsequent participation in the course-redesign institute and during the first-summer planning effort. However, a well-developed proposal should show that you have a foundational understanding of key concepts of course design in university-level science and the assessment of student learning.

The *preliminary* plan, presented as responses to the prompts found below, should show consideration of and a commitment to implement the five elements for a scientific approach to optimization of science education (modified from the <u>Carl Wieman Science</u> <u>Education Initiative</u>, University of British Columbia and the <u>Top 25 Project</u>, Miami University):

- 1. Specification of measurable learning outcomes
- 2. Rigorous objective assessment of student achievement of these outcomes
- 3. Implementation of teaching methods aimed at maximizing achievement with respect to the specified outcomes, that are consistent with empirically established results and principles
 - Use methods to actively engage students in their learning and with other learners and, wherever appropriate, employ inquiry-driven approaches to learning
 - Reduce the amount of class time spent on low-level memory or descriptive material by incorporating approaches to facilitate students learning this material outside of class
 - Methods are built on specific student learning outcomes tied to assessment that continuously monitors student learning and modifies the course as necessary
- 4. Means for easy dissemination and duplication of materials, methods, and technology to other course instructors
- 5. Sustainable and continued optimization based on results of assessment
- 1. List the measureable learning outcomes for the redesigned course (these may be the current student learning outcomes for the course or potential revisions to those outcomes).

2. At this preliminary point in your planning, how do you plan to assess student achievement of the outcomes stated in #1?

B. Preliminary Redesign Plan (continued)

3. Describe the teaching methods that your are currently considering for the redesign and link these proposed methods to (a) the purpose of your project described on page 3, (b) the learning outcomes stated above and (b) to your current knowledge of research on teaching and learning processes.

4. Describe your preliminary plan for disseminating information about your redesign and instructional materials for use by other instructors.

5. Explain how you plan to sustain, and improve upon, the redesigned course components following the one-year funded redesign effort.

C. Course redesign project members

One, or two, instructors may submit a proposal together to work on the same course. Each applicant must commit to partipating in the events and processes described on p.7 as a member of the STEM Gateway Faculty Learning Community

To your knowledge, is anyone else in your department submitting a proposal to STEM Gateway at this time?

If yes, who? _____

D. Supporting Letter

Proposals must include a letter of support from the Department Chair that (a) certifies that the redesign proposed in the target course has broad support from the unit, and (b) provides assurances that the chair will encourage other faculty to work toward adopting effective innovations that arise from the project.

Note: The existence of multiple proposals from the same department enhances, rather than reduces, the likelihood of selection to participate in the STEM Gateway Course Redesign Project

Certifications

The primary applicant and partner (if applicable) must sign below, acknowledging the following:

- Commitment to attend the *Designing Courses for Effective Student Learning* course-redesign institute; May 14 and 15 (9 am to 3:30 pm each day) and May 18 (8:30 am to 12:00 pm), 2015
- ✓ Commitment to participate in the course-redesign effort during Summer 2015 including a commitment to the five elements for a scientific approach to optimization of science education
- ✓ Commitment to implement the course-redesign elements when teaching the redesigned course during the 2015-2016 academic year, including classroom observations by project staff, and administration of surveys to students
- ✓ Commitment to attend at least 8 of the 12 monthly teaching professional development activity sessions throughout the year.

In addition, the *primary applicant* is responsible for the following:

- ✓ Collecting and submitting assessment data
- ✓ Responding to inquiries and correspondence from STEM Gateway

Primary applicant	Project partner (if applicable)
Printed name	Printed name
Signature	Signature